G. M. 1910

25 & 1910.

Sl. No. 5 — 4½

Promotion of Mr. Krishnaswamy Iyengar
v. Lakshman Sarkary as AssC. commn
from 5th to 4th Grade

Previous references. 5 Colln
for 4-2-09 34-b.
22-08 3 Coll
12-09 5 Colls.

1910-11

(Gen Mem)

File No. 25-10

Serial Nos. 5-843

Later references.
25 (a) of the same file
for 39-11 of 26.65
" 5-7-12 " 46.68
Gen. 106-17 of 5-7-56
CB. 47-18 of 1-2
CB. 108-2-1-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5-843

Part B for april 1911.

SUBJECT.

Promotion of messrs A. Krishnaswamy Iyengar & M. Lakshmanan Sastri As. Comrs from 3rd to 4th Class

---

5[5] From the Dy. of Police No. 787/738 dt. 22.8.10. forwards his recommendations on the applications of Messrs. S. Ramaswamiah & A. Krishnaswamy Iyengar & M. Lakshmanan Sastri for promotion to 4th class.

8[43] To the Dy. of Police No. 6119 of 22.4.11. States that separate orders have been issued in the case of Messrs. S. Ramaswamiah & that his recommendations regarding the other officers could not be acceded to as they were not eligible on 1st April 3 years service —

G.R.S.11] 1910-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Genl Misc.)

NOTES.

G. M. File No. 25 of 1910-11

FILE HEADING.

Appointments and promotions of Ass^{ts} Commissioners.

From

The Dy. of Police

No. 878/73 Dated 22.8.10 Received 23.8.10

Diary No. 907 | Enclos. 4 | Spare Copies . | Serial No. 5

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards with his recommendation the applications of Messrs O. Ramaswami Aiya, A. Krishnaswami Aiyengar & M. Lakshmana Sastri for promotion to the 4th class of Ass^{ts} Comm^{rs} with effect from the 1st July 1910, 2nd & 20th October 1909 respectively.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

HLU. Proviso (1) to rule XI of the Civil Services Rules of the 27th June 1907 lays down that in the case of an Officer appointed to the Civil Service from subordinate grades, the time during which he has been drawing a pay of Rs 250 will

Notes—First sheet

GPB—30,000 -3-4-07

Serial No.

court towards the period of three years required for promotion to the 4th class.

2) The case of Mr. Ramaswamiah who was ~~appointed~~ an Assistant of the 1st class (from 1.7.09) prior to his ~~appointment to the~~ Civil Service in August 09 (vide G.m 42.09.22)† H 0 has been in receipt of a pay of Rs 250 from 1.7.09 fall under this rule. This Officer will be entitled to the promotion recommended if he has had no leave without allowances ~~&~~ during the period (1.7.09 to 1.7.1910).

(3) The case of the other two officers whose tenure of appt in the class of Ass" Commrs was in G.O. No G 2563-6 dated 8.11.09 H x directed to be treated as Sub-Pro. from Gen 42-09-29 with effect from the 2nd & 20th Oct: 09 respectively cannot fall under this proviso in as much as they have not been drawing a substantive pay of Rs 250 from those dates. In accordance with the decisions arrived at in previous cases x G.m 12-07-(1918-20) H Y more of Messrs R.T. Mahadeva Rao & T. † (Gm 22-08-(5817-20) H V Dhanmaraj Chetty for instance, they will have to put in an approved service of three years from 5.1.1910 ⊗ in the 5th class before they can be promoted to the 4th.

⊗ Date of confirmation vide Gen 42-09-36 H S.

(4) If, of course, the appt of Asst Commr. can be considered to be one with a

Serial No.

a progressive pay of 250 · $\frac{50}{3}$ - 450

the requests of these two Officers would be unobjectionable. But it has been decided that it is not. (vide ~~the~~ notes in G.Os. 12070-54866 and Gen 12070 71-73).

H-P & Q.

[The cases of Messrs M.Q. Krishnaswami Rao & Q. Hanumantha Rao seem to be special].

Subd. for orders.

HS 2.9.10

VS.

(5) The case of Mr. Ramaswamiah is free from doubt and may be disposed of independently of the others. During the three years from 1st July 07, he has been employed in the Police Depts, and the Insp-Genl of Police recommends his promotion to the 4th grade from 1st July 1910. This may be taken up for consideration at once.

(6) As regards Messrs. Krishnaswami Iyengar & Lakshmanasastri, so far as may be ~~ascertained~~ gathered from the files put up, they do not appear to be entitled to promotion now, but could claim it only after 3 years from date of confirmation as Asst Comrs i.e., from 5th Jan 1910. File 42-09, however

Vide para 5 of the G.O. of 2.4.07. H-W.

Q. to file 42-09-24 directing the appts of Messrs M.L & A.S.-06, as interpolation from 1906, be traced & put-up.

HS 2/9/10.

File being traced separately HS 9/9/10

Serial No.

in which these appointments was ordered to be treated as substantive from October 06, will throw light on the circumstances in which that order was passed. This is not forthcoming and is being traced. To avoid delay the papers are submitted.

Secretary) 10.9.10.

(Gm 42.09.29)

7) The file above referred to, has since been traced & put up together with file no G.M. 22 of 1908-5 (Sls 18 Rev), & reference to para 6 in para 14 of the notes in the latter file bears out the correctness of the view above taken.

21.9.10 (8) As noted in paras 5 & 6 above, Mr Ramaraviniah appears entitled to promotion from 1st July 1910, while the two other officers recommended for similar promotion can not claim it at present.

Secretary) 22/9/10.

(9) Please note what leave Mr Ramaswamiah has had during the period.

29/9/10

Mis 36-10

Br III

(10) Mr Ramaswami Aiya is understood to have had five days' privilege leave from 4.8.07 and a month

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

vide this f.
Gazetted Officers
31-12-09
f. ascertained from
Comptr's Office

and three days' privilege leave from 19-4-1905
and no leave since then.

(11) As instructed by the H. Secy. the file containing the appl^n of M^r K. S. Shamanna (in whose case sub-Pro tem period was allowed to be calculated towards the period required for promotion to the 4th class) for promotion to the 3rd class is linked hereto.

(M^r Shamanna's case has no bearing on the present question. The decision here went on other grounds.)
Secretary)
30.9.10
1.10.10

But the late Sheristadar's appl^n in that case, which was rejected, was allowed. Cl. Clerk

M^r B. Ramaswamiah was drawing 250 Rs as amildar 1st class from 1st July 1907 & this period will count for promotion from the 5th to the 4th class of asst Commrs. He may be promoted to the 4th class with effect from the 1st July 1910.

1-9-07 ? yr.

In the case of Messrs Krishnasami iyengar & Lakshmana sastri they were confirmed as Asst Commrs 5th class on the 5th Janr 1910 and are entitled to promotion to the next higher class only from the 5th Janr 1913.

The I.G. of Police recommends

Serial No.

their promotion to the 4th class as a special case on the grounds that they were asstt comrs Subprotem for a long time prior to their confirmation & that some other officers who were junior to them as amildars were appointed asstt comrs in 1906. In the case of Mr Lakshmana Sastri reference is also made to the fact that his prospects would have been better if he had been transferred from the Police Dept (where he was Dy Superintendent) to the Rev dept as earlier than was actually the case Amildar instead of being retained in the Police dept in the interest of that dept.

So far as subprotem service is concerned it has been held in several cases (vide cases of Mahadeva Rau, Dharmaraj chetty etc) that acting subprotem service as asstt comr should not count for promotion. A decision to the contrary will lead to several old cases being reopened. The argument that certain officers junior to them in the amildari were made asstt comrs in 1906 in

Serial No.

preference to them is not a very valid one. Appointments to the grade of Asst Commrs are made by selection from the subordinate grades & ~~Some~~ relative rank in the Cuddari grade cannot be made the basis of any claim for accelerated promotion. Neither can the disadvantages suffered by Mr L. Sastri some 15 or 16 years ago be an argument for claiming anything now. There is no doubt that Mr L-Sastri has been somewhat unlucky in his official career in spite of his acknowledged ability. He missed the rising tide in more than one occasion from no fault of his.

It has also to be observed that if Messrs Krishnasamiyangar & Lakshmana Sastri had fully qualified themselves by passing all the prescribed tests the chances are would have been that their confirmation as Asst Commrs would not have been delayed till 1910.

Taking all these circumstances into consideration the claim of these officers for special treatment now ~~has~~ is not well founded.

It should however be stated that there is nothing improper in Govt in promoting an officer as a special reward for meritorious work

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M. File No. 25 of 1910-11.

Serial No.

as a matter entirely outside rules & precedents & if Messrs K. Iyengar & L. Sastri ~~neither of them~~ are considered to have rendered such meritorious service the compliance with their present request will not be unjustified. This is an aspect of the case which I must leave for the consideration of the Dewan & Council.

Dewan through 1862 CSB 2/12/10

1502 / 3-12-10

All that is pertinent to the case has been said by the Offg Secretary and I generally agree with him. Mr B. Ramaswamiah may be promoted to 4th Class from 21st July 1910. The cases of Mr A. Krishnaswamiengar and Mr Lakshmanaswamy may be considered in Council

KBM

9038 / 3.12.10

Circulate please to 2nd Member 3/12/10 CSB

Hon'ble Member at Council meeting

Secy Offg Secy

Circulate (Note for meeting)

CSB

Secy

9/12/10

GPB—50,000—12.8.10

10/12/10 CSB

Serial No.	
	The question of promoting Mrs B Ramaswamy & the 4th class of asst Comrs was separately considered and ended to Govt with the Council Resolution.
	TM 13/3/11
	Subm — note in file XII & 8 Thus to get be provide under Rule XI of C.S Rules & June 1907 JC
	7.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

C. 153
20. 12. 10.

W

Council

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

Separately dealt with. A.M.

Promotion of Mr. B. Ramaswamaiya, Apte Superintendent of Police from the 5th to the 4th Class of Apte Commrs with effect from the 1st July 1910, and

recommendation from the Inspector General of Police to promote Messrs A. Krishnaswamiengar and M. Lakshmana Sastri to the 4th Class of Apte Commrs as a special case with effect from the 2nd and 20th October 1909 respectively.

CIRCULATED TO COUNCILLORS.

BY ORDER,

19th Decr 1910.

C Balasundaramayya
Offg. *Secretary to Government.*

K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ.,
FIRST COUNCILLOR. Seen by Hon. C.

C.86 H. V. NANJUNDAYYA, ESQ., M.A., M.L.
SECOND COUNCILLOR. Seen by the 2nd Cr.

GBPM—9-6-10—300

G.R.S. 15]

Facing sheet for Cases included in the schedule appended to Notification No. 36 of the 8th Aug. 1902.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

SUBJECT

Promotion of Mr. B. Ramaswamaiya, Apptt Supdt of Police from the 5th to the 4th Class of Apptt Commrs with effect from 1st July 1912 and recommendation from the D. Gl of Police to promote Messrs A. Krishnaswamiengar & M. Lakshmana Sastri to the 4th Class of Apptt Commrs &c

	Date	Initial of Officer himself or responsible Deputy
Received in the Secretariat		
Submitted to Secretary		
Submitted by Secretary to Dewan or Councillor in charge		
Returned to Secretary		
Circulated by Secretary for Council ...	9. 12. 12.	
Forwarded by Councillor		
Forwarded by Councillor		
Received in Secretariat		
Considered in Council		
Submitted to the Dewan		
Submitted to His Highness		
Orders passed by His Highness		
Final Orders issued		
Circulated to Councillors		
Passed on by the Councillor ...		
Returned to Secretary by Councillor ...		

GBPM—8-6-1910—300

10736
27.3.11

Extract from the Proceedings of the 260 Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Mysore on the 21st & 22nd March 1911.

I. Subject.-(Clause v of the Schedule).

Recommendation from the Inspector General of Police to promote Messrs. A. Krishnaswamiengar and K. Lakshmana Sastri to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners as a special case with effect from the 2nd & 20th October 1909 respectively.

Opinion of the Council.

Having regard to the rule and to precedents the special recommendation in favour of these two officers has to be declined. They must put in 3 years of service after confirmation as Assistant Commissioners for promotion to the next higher class.

(Sd) T. Ananda Rao
Dewan of Mysore

Extract-
C. Balasundaramiyer
Offg Secy to Govt.

GPB—300—29-4-09

Submitted to His Highness.

Messrs. A. Krishnaswami Iyengar and M. Lakshmana Sastry (Assistant Commissioners) were treated as Sub-pro-tem Assistant Commissioners with effect from 2nd October 1906 and 20th October 1906 respectively, the dates from which they have been acting as Assistant Commissioners. These officers were confirmed as Assistant Commissioners Vth class (Schedule B) by Government Order No.G 3511/G.M.42-09-36 dated 5th January 1910. Both of them urge that their sub-pro-tem period may be counted towards increment for promotion to the next higher class. The Inspector General of Police supports their prayer.

G.

Paper under disposal.

2. Messrs. Krishnaswami Iyengar and Lakshmana Sastry held the post of Amildar, Third class and officiated in Second class on Rs.200 at the time of their appointment as officiating Assistant Commissioners. They are not entitled to count the period in question towards increment under proviso 1 to rule XI of the Mysore Civil Service Rules (of 1907) as their pay was less than Rs. 250.

3. The matter having been considered by the Council

14

it is of opinion that having regard to the rule and to precedents, the special recommendation in favour of these two officers has to be declined. They must put in 3 years of service after confirmation as Assistant Commissioners for promotion to the next higher class. This may be approved.

29/11

14

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

29.3.11
1886.

Promotions of Assistant Commissioners Messrs. A. Krishnaswami Iyengar and M. Lakshmanasastry from the 5th to the 4th class.

578

Order.

Approved

KRW

1/4/11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 25 of 1911-11

16

Serial No.

Draft- Subd.

Dl 116
6.4.11. secy)

6/4/11

Issue

CSB
15/4/11

43 To the DG of Police. No Cs 6119 Dt 22. 4. 11.

With refce to Sl 5, states that orders have been separately issued regarding the promotion of Mr. B. Ramaswamaiya and expresses inability to accede to the recommendation made on behalf of Messrs A. Krishnaswamiengar and M. Lakshmana Sastri, as they will be eligible for promotion to the 4th class of Asstt Commrs Sch. B only after they have rendered 3 years' approved service from the date of their confirmation in the 5th class.

Rec'd

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

N 920 D'907

2376

19 —19 . .

From

Rel'le Cutlers 2674

The Inspector-General of
Police in Mysore.

G.M. 25.6.5

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts

Dated

Despatched 22nd August 1910

Received

General No. 878/73

Enclosure No. four

Recommending Messrs B. Ramaswamiah, A. Krishnaswamiyar and M. Lakshmanasastry for promotion to the 4th grade of Asst. Commissioners.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. [illegible] *Dated Bangalore,* [illegible] 19..

FROM

Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

TO

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

The case of these two officers deserves favorable consideration by the Government in view of the fact that some of the officers who were their juniors as Amildars were appointed as 5th grade Assistant Commissioners in June 1906 and were promoted to the next higher grade in July 1909.

It will be seen from the application of Mr. Lakshman Sastry that his case is a hard one. So far back as 1893 he was in receipt of a pay of Rs.100/- per mensem as Head Quarter Inspector and a number of the present Assistant Commissioners in the 4th grade were then drawing a less pay. In the interest of the Police Training School which had just then been opened, his services were not transferred to the Revenue Department as Amildar. Under this circumstance a number of his juniors became Amildars earlier. I strongly recommend his case for the favorable consideration of Government.

I request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the promotion of Mr. B. Ramaswamia to the 4th grade of Assistant Commissioners with effect from the 1st July 1910, that of Mr. A. Krishnaswami Iyengar from 2nd October 1910 and Mr. M. Lakshman Sastry from 20th October 1910.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector-General of Police.

Comp^d 29 17/8/1910
M.S.

From

M. Lakshmansastry Esq., B.A.,
Asst. to the Inspector-
General of Police
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,
Inspector-General of
Police in Mysore.

Sir,

I beg to lay before you the following facts with a request that you will be so good as to take them into your kind consideration and recommend my case to Government for favourable orders.

2. I passed the B.A. Degree Exam[i]nation from the Central College in January 1888 and in April I was appointed as a Taluk Police Inspect[o]-or in which capacity I served for over four years and in 1892 when the Police Training School was newly opened I was selected for the charge of it and there I remained for about 5½ years.

3. In 1897 I was appointed Amil-dar, and as such I worked in four Taluks for nearly ten years, till I was appointed acting Assistant Commissioner in October 1906 in which which grade I was confirmed in January 1910. Since I did more than half of my Amildari service, and two out of three years as acting Assis-tant

institution in Southern India, also contributing to my retention in the Police Department. Between 1893 and 1897, many of my juniors in the Police and other Departments were appointed as Amildars. At last when I had to go as Amildar in 1897, I had to take a place below more than 20 officers who were formerly my juniors.

6. In June 1906 and subsequently also 12 Amildars were appointed Assistant Commissioners of whom 6 were my juniors as Amildars and also in service. In point of examination qualifications also, I was in no way inferior to them. I passed my Civil Part I in 1907 when I was acting Assistant Commissioner and prayed the Government to confirm me as Assistant Commissioner exempting me in consideration of my long service as Amildar from passing Civil Part II, as they had done in the case of many others. My prayer, however, was not granted.

7. In March 1908, the Government in their order No.G.5670-711/ G.M.12-07-55 dated 30th March 1908 notified that none of the then acting Assistant Commissioners, of whom I was one, would be confirmed, because they had not fully qualified themselves by passing all the tests and further declared that for the

benefit

he was confirmed from 1st July 190[illegible] within a month after his passing the examinations. If the examinations were a bar for confirmation as ordered by Government in Proceedings No. G. 5670-711 Edu 12-07-53 date 30th March 1908 I ought to have been confirmed soon after I passed Civi Part II in December 1908.

10. In their Proceedings No. G.2563-6/G.M.42-09-29 dated 8th November 1909, the Government were pleased to order, the tenure of my service as acting Assistant Commissioner from 20th October 1906, being treated as Sub pro tem which continued without interruption till my confirmation as Assistant Commissioner in January 1910. What I now request is that in view of the peculiar circumstances, the Government will be graciously ---- pleased to order the period of my Sub pro tem appointment to count for promotion to the 4th Grade of Assistant Commissioners.

11. Before concluding I beg to state, sir, that my object in bring-ing these facts to your notice in such detail, is not to complain, but to impress the same upon you and in doing so, If I have gone too far I beg to be pardoned.

I have &c.

Lakshmanan M.

Asst.to I-G. of Police.

P. 5023
21-6-10

3/6

From.

A. Krishnaswami Iyengar Esquire B.A.,
Assistant Superintendent of Police
French-Rocks Circle.

To.

M. Kantaraja Urs Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner.,
Mysore District.

Dated 22 June 1910.

Despatched. 23-6-10.

Received.

General NO:
NO: 738

Sir,

I beg to submit the following for your kind consideration and recommendation.

I passed the B.A., Degree Examination from the Central College, Bangalore in 1891, in which year I got the special prize of Rs. 100/- offered by the Government every year to those who stand first in the Province. I entered service as a clerk in the Secretariat on Rs. 30/- in the same year and was posted as Deputy Amildar of Closepet in February 1896. After more than 10 years' service in the Amildar's grade I was appointed as Acting Assistant Commissioner in September 1906; and from 2nd October of that year up to the date of my confirmation as Assistant Commissioner by Government Notification NO: G.3511/G.M.42-

G.M.42-09-36 dated 5-1-1910, I was an Assistant Commissioner Sub-Protem as per orders of Government NO: G.2563-6 G.M.42-09-27 dated the 8th November 1909 without interruption.

In June 1906, many of my juniors in service and lower in rank in the grade of Amildars were promoted and subsequently confirmed as Assistant Superintendents and Assistant Commissioners, although they had not passed all the required qualifying tests as per Civil Service Rules of 1906. Though I was one of the selected candidates along with them and had to pass only Civil Part I and although my services including those rendered at the time of the breach of the Palar Series of tanks and Vaniambady disaster were known to Government, I had not the fortune of being confirmed along with them.

In March 1908, Government were pleased to pass orders that there wouldbe no nominations unless all, the qualifying tests were passed by us. Accordingly I passed the Registration Examination held in August 1908 and Civil Part I held in November of the same year. I am now fully qualified by passing all the required tests also and was acting in a permanent

permanent vacancy as can be seen from the Sub-Protem arrangement ordered by Government. I beg that you will be pleased to recommend my promotion to the 4th class from 2nd October 1909, on which date I completed 3 years of service as Assistant Commissioner Sub-Protem drawing uninterruptedly Rs. 250/- per mensem as pay.

Government Proceedings NO: G.6027-99 /G.M. 12-06-50 dated 2-4-1907, para 5, extract from which I quote in the margin for ready reference, lays down the principle that the Sub-Protem period will be calculated for promotion; and Mr. M. G.Krishnaswami Rao was recently promoted to the 4th Class (vide G.O.NO: G.6738/G.M.42-09-86 dated 10-6-1910), evidently taking the Sub-Protem period into calculation for the promotion.

> Para 5 X X X X X X X X X X X
>
> Meanwhile, they [Messrs. M.G. Krishnaswami Rao and G.Hanumanta Rao] may count their service in such capacity (Assistant Commissioners Sub Protem) towards the periods necessary for their promotion, their relative position, in the list of Assistant Commissioners being determined by the dates of their appointment as Vice Presidents and Assistant Commissioners Sub Protem, Viz, 1st July 1906.

I therefore beg that you will kindly recommend my case also to the Government for favourable

favourable orders, as you know well how I have been discharging my duties here.

I have the honour to be.

Sir.,

Your most obedient servant.

Assistant Superintendent of Police.
French-Rocks Circle.

S.N.

Letter No.43 dated 6th July 1910 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

"I have the honor to forward herewith letter No.736 dated 22nd June 1910 from Mr. A. Krishnaswami Iyengar, Assistant Superintendent of Police, French Rocks Circle, praying that he may be promoted to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioner with effect from 2nd October 1909 and to request that you may be so good as to forward the same to Government with your recommendation. As he has put in 3 years uninterrupted service in the 5th class, he may be promoted to the next higher class".

Submitted in original
to the Inspr-Genl of Police
in Mysore, for favorable consideration. (Sd). M. Narain Rao.
* C O P Y * Dy. Commissioner.

M.S. Inspector-General of Police.

G.R.S. 28.]

G.M. File No. 25-10

Serial No. 43

707/17-4-11

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

the 19

Date of issue 22. 4. 11

Signed by Mr B Ramaswamaiya

READ—

Letter No. 878/73 dated the 22nd August 1910 from the Insp. Genl of Forts forwarding the applications of Messrs B Ramaswamaiya, A Krishna Swamiengar & M Lakshmanaswamy for promotion to the 6th class of Asst. Cers and recommending that Mr B Ramaswamaiya may be promoted to that class from the 1st July 1910 specially to the first proviso to Rule XI of the Mysore Civil Service Rules published with G.O. No. G-P139/Fin 12-06-602 dated 27-6-1906;

ORDER THEREON.—No.

I

P.T.O.

[illegible] A.V. Subramanyam under
Tr Lakshmanaswamy, in the effect
from the 2nd and the 20th October 1961 respectively,
taking into consideration
~~Considering~~ in the case of ~~the two~~ these two
~~officers~~ officers the services rendered
~~by them~~ in both the units put together.

[illegible] Nos. 2-

Cases have been
separately considered regarding
the promotion of Mr. P. Ramaswamy.
2. [illegible] Nos. A. Krishna
Murthy & Lakshmanaswamy
~~of~~ to regret their inability
to agree to the recommendation
made in their behalf. ~~on their~~
~~case~~ Consequently, no proposal in the
~~Proforma finally framed for awarding it.~~
These Officers will be ~~transmitted~~ placed
for promotion to the list of Asst
Supdt Gde-B, only after they
have rendered 3 years' approved
service ~~of~~ from the date of their
~~nomination~~ to the Gde.

the J.G. of 1961

[illegible]
[illegible] Gde.